

INCOMING TELEGRAM

Department of State

R

ACTION COPY

35-L

Action

EUR

Info

RMR

SS

W

G

SP

C

L

INR

H

SECRET

Control: 1491
Rec'd:

APRIL 2, 1959
2:18 PM

PM/R
aust. deleg.
001
Eur. 1
T.D.M.C.Y.
4-5-59

FROM: BONN AND 901068
HR-M/SG 8/27/91
TO: Secretary of State
NO: 2197, APRIL 2, 6 PM

TM

LIMIT DISTRIBUTION - DEPARTMENT REPEAT AS DESIRED.

REFERRING TO "AGREED UK-US MINUTE ON 'CONTINGENCY PLANNING' FOR BERLIN", WILKINSON, BRITISH EMBASSY, SAID HE THOUGHT INSTRUCTIONS TO "ALLIED EMBASSIES BONN", PARTICULARLY THOSE PARA 2, "COULD MEAN ALL THINGS TO ALL MEN." IN VIEW THIS, SAID BRITISH EMBASSY HAS QUERIED LONDON FOR CLARIFICATION INSTRUCTIONS. PLEASE PROTECT SOURCE.

AS MATTER IMMEDIATE IMPORTANCE, IS IT DEPARTMENT'S VIEW THAT FOR PURPOSES DRAFTING CONTINGENCY PLANS, WE STILL TO BE GUIDED BY INSTRUCTIONS CONTAINED DEPARTMENT'S G-397 AND DEPTEL 2071, PARTICULARLY LIMITATIONS SPELLED OUT PARA (C) AND (B) OF LATTER?

G-397 4
US memo -
memorandum
Date, 14.

PARA 2 MINUTE SEEMS CALL FOR BASIC CHANGE CONTINGENCY PLANNING. FOR EXAMPLE WHAT WOULD BE SPECIFIC, PRACTICAL, LIMITS BEYOND WHICH WE SHOULD NOT REPEAT NOT GO "TO ENABLE DDR PERSONNEL ENSURE ORDERLY PROGRESS TRAFFIC AUTOBAHN AND RAILROAD"?

IF INTERPRETED LITERALLY COULD THIS NOT REPEAT NOT BE TAKEN MEAN THAT WE SHOULD ACCEPT VIRTUALLY ALL CONTROL PROCEDURES WHICH GDR MIGHT CLAIM NECESSARY IN ORDER ACHIEVE "ORDERLY PROGRESS"?

IN VIEW URGENT NEED COMPLETE CONTINGENCY PLANNING, DEPARTMENT INSTRUCTIONS APPRECIATED SOONEST.

TIMBERLAKE

CCG 1959
4-5-59

CLASSIFIED FILE

762.00/4-259

Copy No(s): 11

R

Date 4-21-59

VF/20

Destroy

Name

SECRET

UNLESS "UNCLASSIFIED"
REPRODUCTION FROM THIS
COPY IS PROHIBITED.

PERMANENT

RECORD COPY • This copy must be returned to RM/R central files with notation of action taken

901068 -163